

REMARKS

Very thanks for Examination's suggestion and thanks for finding some citations about the present invention, thereby, the applicant may know more information about the invention. This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the office action.

In the official action claims 1 and 2 are allowed (see Official action summary item 5). Thus the claims 1 and 2 are remained.

In the office action, claims 3 and 4 may be allowed if the definition of the pressure angle can be well defined. However applicant discovers that the definition of the pressure angle is not a better one and moreover, the contents of the original claim 3 are necessary to be modified for a novel design. Applicant plans to file another patent to USPTO for this part. Thereby at this response to the office action, applicant decides to cancel the claims 3 and 4.

If there still is any error in the claims or specification, Applicant requests and authorizes Examiner to amend the claims of the present invention so that the claim and specification can match the requirement of U. S. Patent. Attentions of Examiner to this matter is greatly appreciated.

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectively requested.

Respectfully submitted.



Dated: 06 / 08 /2005

235 Chung – Ho Box 8-24

Taipei Taiwan R. O. C.